

Ind

United States Senate

DEC 4 1978

OLC #78-2313/A

Respectfully referred to:

Central Intelligence Agency
Congressional Section
Washington, D. C.

Return attn: Marie Steele
253 Russell Senate Bldg.

Because of the desire of this office to be responsive to all inquiries and communications, your consideration of the attached is requested. Your findings and views, in duplicate form, along with return of the enclosure, will be appreciated by

Richard S. Schweiker
Pennsylvania

U.S.S.

Form #2

HARRISON A. WILLIAMS, JR., N.J., CHAIRMAN
JENNIFER S. RANDOLPH, W. VA.
CLAUDONNE PELL, R.I.
EDWARD M. KENNEDY, MASS.
GAYLORD NELSON, WIS.
THOMAS F. EAGLETON, MO.
ALAN CRANSTON, CALIF.
WILLIAM D. HATHAWAY, MAINE
DONALD W. RIEGLE, JR., MICH.

Approved For Release 2004/09/03 : CIA-RDP81M00980R000300010043-1

JACOB K. JAVITS, N.Y.
ROBERT T. STAFFORD, VT.
ORWIN G. HATCH, UTAH
JOHN H. CHAFFET, R.I.
S. I. HAYAKAWA, CALIF.

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON HUMAN RESOURCES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

STEPHEN J. PARADISE, GENERAL COUNSEL
AND STAFF DIRECTOR
MARJORIE M. WHITTAKER, CHIEF CLERK

October 12, 1978



Thank you for your letter requesting information on a report you title "Hypnosis in Interrogation". I am sorry for the extreme delay in getting back to you on this matter.

➤ The CIA informs me that they cannot locate a report by this title. On the outside chance that it may have been done by another agency, the Congressional Research Service was contacted. All the library's standard reference sources have been checked and they are unable to identify a report by this title.

If you should have any further information or a more detailed title of this report, please contact my office and we will be most happy to try and obtain a copy for your personal use.

Sincerely,

Richard S. Schweiker
United States Senator

RSS:mps

Please see the attached Xerox sheet.
This is a DECLASSIFIED report, and is
obviously available. Will you please
try again? Thank you.

STAT

Approved For Release 2004/09/03 : CIA-RDP81M00980R000300010043-1

President Carter took office last January, the issue of human rights has become a key and controversial element of U.S. foreign policy. But the problem isn't new with the Carter Administration, as a series of recently declassified memoranda reveals. In fact, Secretary of State Dean Rusk was burdened by this same question — specifically with respect to the still-simmering issue of apartheid in South Africa.

A State Department airgram entitled, "U.S. Overseas Internal Defense Policy—South Africa" and dated June 1962, spells out American policy regarding apartheid: "We do not intend to desist from a criticism of South Africa's racial policies, both because we consider those policies dangerous and short-sighted and because we regard it as essential for our overall policy in Africa to remain in step with wider African opinion." But, it adds, the "U.S. must distinguish between non-cooperation in matters directly or indirectly related to South Africa's apartheid, and cooperation in other important fields."

A year later, however, in the wake of a well-coordinated and highly successful black African Conference, G. Mennen Williams, Assistant Secretary of State for African Affairs, recommended a full embargo on the supply of arms to South Africa. "In African opinion," he said, "we can no longer rest our case on a condemnation of apartheid. We must back it with meaningful action."

In opposition, Deputy Under Secretary of State for Political Affairs, U. Alexis Johnson, while agreeing that the present policy was "probably not entirely

'The heart of the issue . . . is how we relate to those countries whose internal arrangements and practices are not only foreign to our way of thinking but, in many cases, repugnant to us.'

satisfactory," argued that it at least "gives us some flexibility and enables us to adapt our policy to changing circumstances." Anyway, Johnson claimed, any arms embargo would be ineffective unless all Western arm suppliers would agree to it, and that seemed unlikely.

In a reply, Dean Rusk explained that the memoranda raised some far-reaching questions which ought to be considered "within a broader framework of policy than that relating to the independent states of Africa." "At the heart of the issue," he continued, "is how we relate to those countries whose internal arrangements and practices are not only foreign to our own way of thinking but, in many cases, repugnant to us."

While agreeing that the U.S. should use its influence in the direction of the principles to which its society is committed, Rusk believed that it was another matter to extend such influence into the field of sanctions and into actions which, if consistently applied, would interrupt U.S. relations with half of the existing community of states. He drew a sharp distinction between "our deep concern with respect to racial discrimination in the United States and the way in which we crusade on that very issue outside the United States. No one has elected to undertake such responsibilities in other countries. The President has reminded us that we are not interested in Pax Americana."

DECLASSIFIED
NEWS . . .

is published by Carrollton Press, Inc., 1911 Fort Myer Drive, Arlington, Virginia, 22209 as part of its Declassified Documents Reference System. The News is distributed free of charge to all subscribers. Multiple copies are available free to subscribing libraries for distribution to faculty members, students and other patrons. Libraries, which are interested in declassified documents but which have not yet ordered any part of the DRRS, may also receive the News free of charge upon written request.

CIA DOCUMENTS SHOW SOVIET NUCLEAR PLANT ACCIDENTS

Two recently-released, heavily-SANITIZED CIA Information Reports lend credence to allegations by defecting Soviet scientists of accidents at Soviet nuclear installations in the Ural mountains.

One report, dated March 4, 1959, provides information on an "unspecified accident" at the Kasli atomic plant on the eastern slopes of the Urals in the winter of 1957. Stores in nearby Kamensk-Uralskiy were closed and food was trucked into the region.

A later report, dated February 16, 1961, contains additional information on nuclear plant disasters in the region. An "accident" in a plant operating near Kyshtym (70 kilometers northwest of Chelyabinsk) had reportedly contaminated large areas of land around it by 1958. People living in the region considered the water in lakes and rivers a health hazard. Food grown locally was inspected in Chelyabinsk. Travelers to Kyshtym until 1958 "were checked at the Kyshtym railway station, and nobody could enter the town without a special permit." Contaminated villages were reportedly burned, and their inhabitants relocated, carrying away only the clothes they had on their backs. The plant responsible "was probably processing radioactive deposits found in the Urals, among which were huge deposits of zirconium," according to the report.

Who Gets U. S. Nuclear Know-How?

How does the U.S. Government decide to whom it should make available nuclear information?

According to three declassified SECRET Defense Department reports prepared for President Kennedy's Paris meeting with de Gaulle, the U.S. had established separate policies for the U.K., France, and Germany by 1961. The U.K. was "authorized to receive atomic information for military applications." However, France was not so fortunate; it was denied access to strategic ballistic missile information after March, 1960, even though, as one report estimated, it might cost the French \$500 million to develop a delivery vehicle on its own.

justifications for the U.S. failure to cooperate. DOD argued, for example, that if it furnished this technology to France, the U.S. could not fall back on its non-proliferation policy in discouraging a German nuclear program; such a program would, in the Defense view, threaten NATO and increase the chance of nuclear war. Another reason for refusing the French information was DOD's mistrust of de Gaulle, who might make an agreement to commit France's nuclear force to NATO in exchange for ballistic missile technology from the U.S., but who, nevertheless, "would not hesitate to use or withhold France's NATO-committed nuclear force for national reasons, however great the resulting damage to

The CIA and Hypnosis

Will hypnosis help elicit information from persons under interrogation?

In a just-released report, "Hypnosis in Interrogation," the CIA looks at the nature of hypnosis and how it works on interreges. It examines the accuracy of information obtained in this way and discusses hypnosis as an interrogation aid.